

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENT OF C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/754,839	01/12/2004	Virgil P. Franks	F12:1021 8899	
7:	590 02/22/2005		EXAMINER	
EDWIN L. HARTZ			CHAMBERS, TROY	
2537 FALLS VIEW CIRCLE GRAND JUNCTION, CO 81505			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/754,839	FRANKS, VIRGIL P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Troy Chambers	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
-,=	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		-				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a) accepted or b) objected or b) to objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail ( ) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the single strand of wire having an open end and a closed end must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

Application/Control Number: 10/754,839 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

  Specifically, claims 1 and 9 require a wire having a "closed end" and an "open end".

  The specification clearly discloses a wire having ends 15 and 16. However, both ends appear to be "open". To qualify as "closed" the Examiner would expect that one end would be provided with a loop or similar configuration so that the wire terminates upon itself. Neither the drawings nor the specification disclose such a configuration. This lack of enablement carries over into other claims such as claim 3 which requires "the

Application/Control Number: 10/754,839

Art Unit: 3641

closed end" to be received within a slot. Again, the specification/drawings disclose only 2 closed ends with member 13 being received in the slot.

Page 4

- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the firearm" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The first recitation of a firearm appears in an "intended use" clause and, therefore, do not form part of the claims. Applicant should first positively recite a firearm before subsequently referring to it in a claim.
- 7. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the open end member", "the closed end member", and "at each end". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. The violations of 35 U.S.C. 112 (1) and (2) are provided as examples only. The list may not be exhaustive. Any subsequent rejection relating to similar violations will not be considered a new rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,839 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

9. At this time, the Examiner could not find art that anticipated or made obvious applicant's claimed invention. This is due in part to the scope of the 112(1) and (2) rejections cited above. For example, the claims require a strand of wire with "an open end" and a "closed end". While the applicant may have meant to address member 13 as "the closed end", this was not done. And, even if this was the applicant's intent, element 13 is not an "end" as is known to one of ordinary skill in the art. The Examiner is required not to "read" limitations into the claims from either the drawing or the specification. Moreover, claim language is to be given its ordinary meaning unless given a special definition by the applicant. No such definition is present.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited on form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show similar long gun carriers.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.